A Total Synthesis of Aliskiren

by Gyeok Nam and Soo Y. Ko*

Department of Chemistry, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea (phone: +822-3277-3283; fax: +822-3277-2384; e-mail: sooyko@ewha.ac.kr)

Dedicated to Professor Dieter Seebach on the occasion of his 75th birthday

A total synthesis of aliskiren (20) was accomplished. A key in our synthesis was to use the symmetric trans-cisoid-trans-bis-lactone 1 as a precursor. It was expediently prepared by three different routes (Scheme 2). Appending the end groups and functional group transformations completed the synthesis (Scheme 3).

Introduction. – The hydroxyethylene dipeptide isostere has been designed to mimic the transition state of the peptide bond cleavage, and has been incorporated in the structures of several drug molecules that act as protease inhibitors [1]. Among them is aliskiren, a renin inhibitor, which is unique for being the first orally active, efficient, non-peptidic drug for treatment of hypertension [2]. Aliskiren is currently marketed under the trade names Tekturna and Resilez.

The structure of aliskiren is fairly complex as drug molecules go. A key in the synthesis of aliskiren is the construction of the octanoic acid backbone, with the control of the configurations at the stereogenic centers at $C(2)$, $C(4)$, $C(5)$, and $C(7)$ (Scheme 1). Diverse synthetic approaches have been reported in the literature. A majority of them, including the original *Novartis* synthesis [3], utilized convergent synthetic strategies, wherein two chiral fragments had been separately prepared by the aid of various chiral auxiliary groups, and later assembled at or around the amino alcohol function $[3-6]^1$). Hanessian and co-workers reported two very distinct synthetic approaches to aliskiren [7]. In the first one, an amino acid chiral pool starting material was converted to a C_5 fragment, the isopropyl group (at $C(7)$) having been incorporated with a highly selective asymmetric induction. A C_3 fragment was then linked, again the required configuration having been controlled by asymmetric induction. In the second approach, a single enantiomerically pure compound, with the isopropyl group already in place with the correct (S) -configuration, was converted to two different fragments, which were later joined.

We noted a 'pseudo-symmetric' nature of the octanoic acid backbone. The two isopropyl groups are attached at the palindromic $C(2)$ and $C(7)$ atoms, both with (S)configurations, and the two heteroatoms (amino alcohol function) are at $C(5)/C(4)$, both with (S) -configurations. The use of a symmetric precursor, which would be

¹⁾ A nice summary of the literature synthetic strategies can be found in [6a].

 $@$ 2012 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

expediently constructed, then later desymmetrized by sequentially introducing the end groups, would offer an efficient pathway to aliskiren.

Results and Discussion. – We envisaged the trans-cisoid-trans-bis-lactone 1 to be a well-suited symmetric precursor for our synthesis of aliskiren (20; Scheme 1). The two isopropyl groups are in place with the correct (S) -configuration, and the lactone functions are amenable for introducing the end groups. The two O-functions in the center are where the amino alcohol function is located in the target molecule $20 \text{ C}(4)$ $C(5)$. Synthesis of aliskiren would require one of the two O-functions to be regioselectively transformed to an amino group with a retention of the configuration.

Scheme 1. Synthetic Strategy to Aliskiren (20)

The trans-cisoid-trans-bis-lactone 1 was prepared via three different synthetic routes (*Scheme 2*). In *Path I*, two isopropyl groups were introduced concurrently and stereoselectively at both $C(\alpha)$ atoms of the known, unsubstituted bis-lactone 2 [8] in three steps: aldol addition of the bis-enolate to acetone, dehydration, and reduction. The aldol addition in the presence of lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS) took place stereoselectively *trans* to the existing substituent (\rightarrow 3), dehydration prompted by PCl₅ produced the diisopropenyl-substituted compound 4 [9], and catalytic hydrogenation yielded the desired trans-cisoid-trans-bis-lactone 1. Direct isopropylation of the bis-enolate was not successful. In Path II, protected dimethyl L-tartrate 5 was the starting material. Following a reduction with diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBAL) to the dialdehyde stage, an in situ Horner–Emmons–Wadsworth reaction with the isopropyl-substituted ester $(EtO)_2P(=O)-CH(CHMe_2)-COOE$ (6) [10] brought us, in a single step from the commercially available starting material to the stage with the complete carbon skeleton of 1, i.e., to 7. Product 7 was in fact a mixture of cis- and trans-isomers, in which the cis,cis-isomer was major $(4 \text{ to } 5:1)$. Upon unmasking the diol function, only the cis,cis-isomer underwent double cyclization to produce bis-lactone 8. Reduction of 8 took place from the opposite side with respect to the existing substituent to produce the cis-cisoid-cis-bis-lactone 9. Equilibration under basic conditions (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU)/DMF) resulted in epimerization, albeit with a disappointing selectivity $(ca 1:1)$, to produce the desired *trans*cisoid-trans-bis-lactone 1. When the order of the last two steps, i.e., deprotection and reduction, was reversed, the bis-lactone product was obtained as a mixture of three diastereoisomers, *i.e.*, **1, 9**, and the *trans-cisoid-cis-isomer*. Once again, epimerization

shifted the distribution toward the desired trans-cisoid-trans-bis-lactone 1, interestingly, however, with a selectivity worse than in the above-described case under supposedly equilibrating conditions.

In contrast to Paths I and II described above wherein the configurations of the target stereogenic centers $C(4)/C(5)$ originated from the enantiomerically pure starting materials and those of $C(2)/C(7)$ (isopropyl-substituted stereogenic centers) were induced relative to those at $C(4)/C(5)$, Path III of the synthesis of the trans-cisoid*trans*-bis-lactone 1 had the isopropyl-substituted target stereogenic centers $C(2)/C(7)$ installed first, by means of $Evans'$ chiral auxiliary, i.e., a substituted oxazolidinone. Thus, allylation of the enolate derived from 11 (obtained from 10) proceeded with a high stereoselectivity $(\rightarrow 12)$ [3a]. *Grubbs'* alkene metathesis reaction yielded then the symmetric *trans*-alkene derivative 13 as the major product [11]. The initial attempt at the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD reaction) of 13 with $AD-mix-\alpha$ was too slow to be practical under the standard conditions. With a higher catalyst loading (twice the standard protocol) at room temperature, the dihydroxylation took place, followed by in situ lactonization, to produce bis-lactone products. The stereoselectivity was only modest $(3.3:1)$, however, and the desired stereoisomer 1 was isolated in 43% yield after a chromatographic purification. The low reactivity and poor stereoselectivity were probably due to the steric hindrance exerted by the isopropyl groups at the homoallylic C-atoms. When the Evans auxiliaries (i.e., the oxazolidinone moieties) were replaced by methyl ester functions, the AD reaction was still slow, and the stereoselectivity was not much improved²). A practically more convenient procedure was to use $OsO₄/4$ methylmorpholine 4-oxide (NMO). With the achiral reagents, the bis-lactone product was formed as a 1:1 mixture of *trans-cisoid-trans* and *cis-cisoid-cis-diastereoisomers*, i.e., of 1 and the enantiomer of 9, which were separated by column chromatography $(SiO₂)$ to yield the desired isomer 1 in 45% yield.

Having obtained the symmetric intermediate 1, remaining tasks were appending the right- and left-end groups of 20 ($Scheme 3$), and functional-group transformations while taking into consideration the required configuration. The aryl end group was introduced by reacting bis-lactone 1 with the corresponding aryllithium (prepared from the aryl bromide). Only one of the lactone rings was opened even with a slight excess of the aryllithium, and due to the symmetric nature of bis-lactone 1, it did not matter which one. The benzoyl group in the resulting product 14 was reduced to the benzyl group with H₂ on Pd/C (\rightarrow 15). The ring-opening 1 \rightarrow 14 also released one of the OH functions, the one that needed to be converted to the amino function of aliskiren (20) with retention of the configuration. This meant that we needed to perform double substitution reactions for this $O \rightarrow N$ conversion. Thus, OH group of 15 was mesylated $(\to 16)$. Subsequent $S_{\rm N}$ 2 reactions first by Br $^ (\to 17)$, then by N₃ $^ (\to 18)$ placed the Nfunction at the right place with the correct configuration (S) . The remaining lactone ring was then opened with the right-end amino group, i.e., with 3-amino-2,2 dimethylpropanamide, in the presence of propanoic acid [12]. Reduction of the azide group of the obtained amide 19 yielded aliskiren (20).

In conclusion, a total synthesis of aliskiren was accomplished. A key in our synthesis was to use the symmetric *trans-cisoid-trans-bis-lactone* 1 as a precursor. It was expediently prepared by three different routes. Appending the end groups and functional-group transformations completed the synthesis.

Experimental Part

General. Flash column ohromatography (FC): silica gel. TLC: silica gel glass-backed plates. IR Spectra: thin films on *KRS-5* plates; in cm⁻¹. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra: at 250 or 300 (¹H) and 62.5 or 75 MHz (13 C); δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si as an internal standard, *J* in Hz.

²⁾ This did not seem to be a case of the mis-matched double stereoselection. The AD reactions with $AD-mix-\beta$ were equally slow and the stereoselectivity modest (1:3).

(2S,2'S,4S,4'S)-Tetrahydro-4,4'-bis(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)-[2,2'-bifuran]-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (3). A soln. of bis-lactone $2(0.190 \text{ g}, 1.12 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (5 ml) was cooled to -78° . Then, 1m LiHMDS in THF (2.6 ml, 2.6 mmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at -78° for 1 h. Anh. acetone $(0.21 \text{ ml}, 2.58 \text{ mmol})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78° . The reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. and Et₂O. The mixture was warmed to r.t. and then extracted with CHCl₃. The combined org. phase was dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated and the residue purified by FC (AcOEt/ EtOH 20:1): **3** (0.096 g, 30%). FT-IR: 3380, 3020, 1749, 1364, 1217. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.54–4.59 (*m*, 2 H), 2.91 (t, $J = 9.6$, 2 H); 2.82 (s, 2 H); 2.28 – 2.47 (m, 4 H); 1.37 (s, 6 H); 1.27 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl3): 177.3; 78.4; 71.2; 49.0; 27.7; 27.1; 26.4.

(2S,2'S,4S,4'S)-Tetrahydro-4,4-bis(1-methylethenyl)-[2,2'-bifuran]-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (4). A soln. of 3 (0.061 g, 0.214 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6 ml) was cooled to 0°. PCl₅ (0.115 g, 0.515 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 3.5 h. The reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. Extractive workup with CH₂Cl₂, drying (Na₂SO₄), and FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:1) yielded 4 (0.025 g, 47%). FT-IR: 3021, 2360, 1777, 1153. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 5.01 (dd, J = 15.9, 2.7, 4 H); 4.56 – 4.62 (m, 2 H); 3.47 – 3.53 (m, 2 H); 2.48 – 2.58 (m, 2 H); 2.32 – 2.42 (m, 2 H); 1.82 (s, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 175.9; 139.6; 114.9; 78.2; 46.7; 29.6; 20.3.

(2S,2'S,4S,4'S)-Tetrahydro-4,4'-bis(1-methylethyl)-[2,2'-bifuran]-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (1). To a soln. of 4 (0.022 g, 0.088 mmol) in AcOEt. Pd/C (10 mg) was added, and the mixture was stirred under H₂ (g) for 15 h at r.t. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, which was further washed with AcOEt. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 3 : 1) yielded 1 (0.020 g, 88%). FT-IR: 2360, 1978, 1869, 1777. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 4.47 – 4.53 $(m, 2H)$; 2.74 – 2.83 $(m, 2H)$; 2.28 – 2.38 $(m, 2 H); 2.14-2.24 (m, 4 H); 1.04 (d, J = 6.9, 6 H); 0.95 (d, J = 6.8, 6 H).$ ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 177.9; 78.5; 44.7; 28.7; 25.9; 20.3; 18.2.

(2E,2'E)-Diethyl 2,2'-{[(4S,5S)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4,5-diyl]dimethylidene}bis[3-methylbutanoate] (7). A soln. of $5(6.54 \text{ g}, 30 \text{ mmol})$ in toluene (110 ml) was cooled to -78° . Then 1M DIBAL in hexane (72 ml, 72 mmol) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred at -78° for 5 h 10 min.

In the meantime, 60% NaH in oil (4.320 g) and THF (50 ml) were cooled to 0° in a seperate flask. Ethyl 2-(diethoxyphosphinyl)-3-methylbutanoate (6) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 0° for 2 h 40 min. This mixture was then added slowly to the first reaction flask via a syringe. The mixture was stirred for 21 h 40 min, while the temp. slowly rose to r.t. The reaction was quenched by adding H_2O . Extractive workup (AcOEt, 10% citric acid), drying (Na_5SO_4) , and FC (hexane/AcOEt 8:1) yielded 7 $(9.465 \text{ g}, 82\%)$ cis-cis/trans-cis-product 4 to 5:1. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): cis-cis-isomer: 5.64–5.72 (*m*, 2 H); $4.74 - 4.82$ (m, 2 H); 4.22 (q, J = 7.2, 4 H); $2.67 - 2.77$ (m, 2 H); 1.46 (s, 6 H); 1.32 (t, J = 7.2, 6 H); 1.08 (t, $J = 7.5, 12$ H); trans-cis-isomer: 6.45 (d, $J = 8.9, 1$ H); 5.63 – 5.71 (m, 1 H); 4.74 – 4.86 (m, 1 H); 4.55 (t, $J = 8.4, 1 \text{ H}; 4.17 - 4.26 \text{ } (m, 4 \text{ H}); 2.74 - 2.79 \text{ } (m, 2 \text{ H}); 1.49 \text{ } (s, 3 \text{ H}); 1.47 \text{ } (s, 3 \text{ H}); 1.29 - 1.34 \text{ } (m, 6 \text{ H});$ $1.05 - 1.21$ (m, 12 H).

 $(2S,2'S)$ -4,4'-Bis(1-mehylethyl)[2,2'-bifuran]-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (8). To 7 (0.850 g, 2.22 mmol; mixture of stereoisomers) in a cooling bath maintained at -10° , CF₃COOH/EtOH 7:3 (v/v ; 30 ml), which had been cooled to -10° , was added slowly. The mixture was stirred for 2 h, while the temp. was allowed to slowly rise to 0° , and after a further 1.5 h stirring, the temp. had reached r.t. Evaporation and FC (hexane/AcOEt 3:1) yielded **8** (0.614 g, 81%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.93 (s, 2 H); 5.18 (s, 2 H); 2.69 ${ (quint., J=6.9, 2 H); 1.18 (d, J=6.9, 12 H)}.$

 $(2S,2'S,4R,4'R)$ -Tetrahydro-4,4'-bis(1-methylethyl)[2,2'-bifuran]-5,5'(2H,2'H)-dione (9). To 8 $(1.150 \text{ g}, 4.6 \text{ mmol})$ in EtOH (20 ml), Pd/C (0.46 g) was added, and the mixture was stirred under H₂ (g) for 16 h at r.t. The mixture was filtered through a pad of *Celite*, which was washed with AcOEt and EtOH. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated. FC (hexane/AcOEt $3:2$) yielded 9 $(1.170 \text{ g}, 100\%)$. 1 H-NMR $(CDCl_3)$: 4.38 – 4.46 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.59 – 2.69 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 2.18 – 2.31 $(m, 4 \text{ H})$; 2.00 – 2.12 (m, 2 H); 1.08 (d, $J = 6.6, 6$ H); 0.96 (d, $J = 6.9, 6$ H).

Compound 1 from 9. To a soln. of 9 (0.136 g, 0.536 mmol) in DMF (10 ml), DBU (0.53 ml, 3.52 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 12 h. After cooling to r.t., sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. was added, and the mixture was extracted with $CHCl₃$. The combined org. phase was dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and concentrated and the residue subjected to FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:1): 1 (0.043 g, 31%).

(4S)-3-(3-Methyl-1-oxobutyl)-4-(phenylmethyl)oxazolidin-2-one (11). To (4S)-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one $(10; 7.09 \text{ g})$ in THF (60 ml) , Ph₃CH (30 mg) was added. The soln. was cooled to -78° . 2m BuLi in hexane (20 ml, 40 mmol) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. Then, 3-methylbutanoyl chloride (7.36 ml, 60 mmol) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred at -78° for 30 min before it was warmed to r.t. where it was stirred for further 2 h. The reaction was quenched by adding 10% aq. K_2CO_3 soln. Extractive workup (AcOEt-brine), drying (Na₂SO₄), and FC (hexane/AcOEt 4:1) yielded 11 (10.41 g, 100%). FT-IR: 3544, 3381, 3028, 2960, 2873, 2360, 1962, 1782, 1699, 1454, 1389, 1211, 1096. $1\,\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl₃): 7.20 – 7.37 $(m, 5\,\text{H})$; 4.64 – 4.71 $(m, 1\,\text{H})$; 4.13 – 4.23 $(m, 2\,\text{H})$; 3.32 $(dd, J=13.4, 3.0, 4.13$ 1 H); 2.71 – 2.94 (m, 3 H); 2.17 – 2.27 (m, 1 H); 0.99 – 1.04 (m, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 172.7; 153.5; 135.4; 129.4; 129.0; 127.3; 66.1; 55.2; 44.0; 38.0; 25.0; 22.6; 22.5.

(4S)-3-[(2S)-2-(1-Methylethyl)-1-oxopent-4-en-1-yl]-4-(phenylmethyl)oxazolidin-2-one (12). To a soln. of 11 (4.31 g, 16.4 mmol) in THF (40 ml) at -78° , 1m NaHMDS in THF (24.7 ml, 24.7 mmol) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred for 70 min. Allyl bromide (freshly distilled; 4.83 ml, 65.6 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 8.5 h, during which the temp. rose from -78° to -45° . The reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq. NH4Cl soln. Extractive workup (AcOEt, brine), drying (Na2SO4), and FC (hexane/AcOEt 4 : 1) yielded 12 (4.084 g, 83%). FT-IR: 3081, 2965, 2253, 1778, 1692, 1385. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.24 – 7.35 (m, 5 H); 5.78 – 5.92 (m, 1 H); 5.01 – 5.15 (m, 2 H); 4.69 – 4.74 (m,

 1 H); 4.14 – 4.17 (m, 2 H); 3.84 – 3.92 (m, 1 H); 3.33 (dd, J = 13.4, 3.3, 1 H); 2.66 (dd, J = 13.3, 10.1, 1 H); $2.41 - 2.51$ (m, 2 H); $1.98 - 2.19$ (m, 1 H); 1.00 (d, $J = 6.6$, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃); 175.8; 153.3; 135.6; 135.5; 129.5; 128.9; 127.3; 116.9; 65.8; 55.7; 48.2; 38.1; 33.7; 30.3; 20.9; 19.2.

(2S,4E,7S)-2,7-Bis(1-methylethyl)-1,8-bis[(4S)-2-oxo-4-(phenylmethyl)oxazolidin-3-yl]oct-4-ene-1,8-dione (13). To a soln. of 12 (1.528 g, 5.07 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml), *Grubbs* catalyst (2nd generation; 86 mg) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 27 h. Solvent evaporation and FC (hexane/ AcOEt 4 : 1) yielded 13 (1.168 g, 80%). FT-IR: 3022, 2965, 1961, 1779, 1694, 1386, 1349. ¹ H-NMR $(CDCl₃)$: 7.22 – 7.36 $(m, 10 H)$; 5.50 – 5.54 $(m, 2 H)$; 4.63 – 4.68 $(m, 2 H)$; 4.08 – 4.16 $(m, 4 H)$; 3.74 – 3.81 $(m, 2 H)$; 3.33 (dd, J = 13.1, 3.0, 2 H), 2.68 (dd, J = 10.2, 10.1, 2 H); 2.28 – 2.48 $(m, 4 H)$; 1.97 – 1.99 $(m,$ 2 H); 0.93 (t, J = 6.3, 12 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 175.7; 153.3; 135.7; 129.5; 129.4; 129.0; 127.2; 65.8; 55.6; 48.7; 37.9; 32.0; 30.1; 20.8; 19.2.

Compound 1 from 13. A soln. of 13 (3.478 g, 6.05 mmol), OsO₄ (4% aq. soln.; 0.381 g, 0.060 mmol), and 4-methylmorpholine 4-oxide (1.42 g, 12.1 mmol) in acetone/H₂O 8:1 (v/v ; 36 ml) was stirred at r.t. for 21 h. The reaction was quenched by adding NaHSO₃ soln. Extractive workup (AcOEt, brine), drying $(Na₂SO₄)$, and FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:1) yielded 1 (0.692 g, 45%).

(3S,5S)-Dihydro-5-{(1S,3S)-1-hydroxy-3-[4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)benzoyl]-4-methylpentyl}-3-(1-methylethyl)furan-2(3H)-one (14). To a soln. of 4-bromo-1-methoxy-2-propoxybenzene $(0.335 \text{ g}, 1.22 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (7 ml) at -78° , 1.7M t-BuLi in pentane 1.43 ml, 2.44 mmol) was added slowly, and the mixture was stirred at -78° for 1 h 50 min. A soln. of 1 (0.238 g, 0.936 mmol) in THF (6 ml) was also cooled to -78° and added rapidly to the above soln. The entire mixture was stirred at -78° for 19 h. The reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq. NH₄Cl soln. The mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The combined org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, and the residue subjected to FC (hexane/AcOEt 2 : 1): 14 (0.231 g, 55%). FT-IR: 3442, 3018, 2964, 2931, 1760, 1661, 1592, 1514, 1428, $1263, 1130, 1022.$ ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 7.65 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.1, 1 H); 7.58 (d, J = 2.1, 1 H); 6.90 (d, J = 8.4, 1 H); $4.22 - 4.30$ $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; 4.19 $(t, J = 6.6, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.94 $(s, 3 \text{ H})$; $3.64 - 3.67$ $(m, 1 \text{ H})$; 3.58 $(t, J = 6.0, 2 \text{ H})$; 3.36 $(s,$ 3 H); 3.28 – 3.33 $(m, 1 H)$; 2.53 – 2.59 $(m, 1 H)$; 1.98 – 2.18 $(m, 8 H)$; 1.58 – 1.68 $(m, 1 H)$; 1.01 $(d, J = 6.9,$ $3 H$); 0.95 (d, $J = 6.9, 6 H$); 0.89 (d, $J = 6.9, 3 H$).

(3S,5S)-Dihydro-5-{(1S,3S)-1-hydroxy-3-{[4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)phenyl]methyl}-4 methylpentyl}-3-(1-methylethyl)furan-2(3H)-one (15). To a soln. of 14 (0.846 g, 1.878 mmol) in MeOH (40 ml) , Pd/C (21 mg) was added. The mixture was shaken under 57 psi of H₂ for 67 h. Then, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, which was washed with AcOEt, then with MeOH. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:1, then 1:1) yielded **15** (0.606 g, 74%). FT-IR: 3413, 3015, 2960, 1982, 1758, 1514, 1466, 1218. ¹ H-NMR (CDCl3): 6.68 – 6.79 (m, 3 H); 4.16 – 4.21 $(m, 1 H); 4.10 (t, J = 6.6, 2 H); 3.84 (s, 3 H); 3.58 (t, J = 6.0, 2 H); 3.36 (s, 3 H); 3.35 - 3.36 (m, 1 H); 2.48 - 3.36 (m, 1 H);$ 2.58 (m, 3 H); 1.96 – 2.19 (m, 5 H); 1.72 – 1.90 (m, 3 H); 1.50 – 1.60 (m, 1 H); 1.25 – 1.31 (m, 1 H); 1.01 (d, $J = 6.9, 3 \text{ H}$); 0.89 (d, $J = 6.9, 3 \text{ H}$); 0.86 – 0.90 (m, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 179.0; 148.3; 147.6; 121.2; 114.2; 111.6; 82.0; 72.3; 69.4; 66.1; 58.7; 56.0; 45.6; 41.5; 37.5; 33.8; 29.5; 29.0; 26.2; 20.3; 19.9; 18.4; 17.5.

(1S,3S)-3-[4-Methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)benzyl]-4-methyl-1-[(2S,4S)-tetrahydro-4-isopropyl-5 oxo -furan-2-yl]pentyl Methanesulfonate $(=(3S,5S)-Dihydro-5-(1S,3S)-3-(14-methoxy-3-(3-methoxyprop-5))$ oxy)phenyl]methyl}-4-methyl-1-[(methylsulfonyl)oxy]pentyl}-3-(1-methylethyl)furan-2(3H)-one; 16). To a soln. of **15** (0.360 g, 0.826 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 ml) at -10° , Et₃N (0.576 ml, 4.132 mmol) was added, followed by a CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) soln. of MsCl (0.195 ml, 2.479 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0^o for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by adding H_2O , and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The combined org. phase was dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 2 : 1) yielded 16 (0.412 g, 100%). FT-IR: 3019, 2961, 1971, 1774, 1514, 1466, 1350, 1216, 1171, 1028. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.70 – 6.84 $(m, 3 H)$; 4.54 – 4.60 $(m, 1 H)$; 4.33 – 4.40 $(m, 1 H)$; 4.12 $(t, J = 6.0, 2 H)$; 3.84 $(s, 3 H)$; 3.58 $(t, J = 6.3, 4)$ 2 H); 3.36 (s, 3 H), 3.13 (s, 3 H); 2.44 – 2.59 (m, 3 H); 1.90 – 2.16 (m, 5 H); 1.69 – 1.86 (m, 3 H); 1.30 – 1.39 $(m, 1 H)$; 1.02 (d, J = 6.9, 3 H); 0.93 (d, J = 6.9, 3 H); 0.85 – 0.95 (m, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 177.5; 148.4; 147.7; 132.9; 121.2; 114.2; 111.6; 82.8; 78.5; 69.4; 65.9; 58.6; 56.1; 44.8; 41.1; 39.1; 37.4; 31.3; 29.5; 28.9; 28.6; 26.4; 20.2; 20.0; 18.4; 16.9.

(3S,5S)-5-{(1R,3S)-1-Bromo-3-{[4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)phenyl]methyl}-4-methylpentyl} dihydro-3-(1-methylethyl)furan-2(3H)-one (17). To a soln. of 16 (0.052 g, 0.104 mmol) in THF (8 ml) , LiBr (0.052 g, 0.60 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated to reflux for 23 h. The reaction was quenched by adding H_2O , and the mixture was extracted with AcOEt. The combined org. phase was dried (Na2SO4) and concentrated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 2 : 1) yielded 17 (0.029 g, 57%). FT-IR: 2960, 1963, 1774, $1589, 1514, 1466, 1259, 1139, 1028.$ $H\text{-NMR (CDCl}_3)$: 6.81 $(d, J = 8.1, 1 \text{ H})$; 6.68 – 6.74 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 4.32 – $4.39 \ (m, 1 \ H)$, $4.13 \ (t, J = 7.2, 2 \ H)$; $4.00 - 4.01 \ (m, 1 \ H)$; $3.86 \ (s, 3 \ H)$; $3.60 \ (t, J = 6.0, 2 \ H)$; $3.38 \ (s, 3 \ H)$; 2.76 (dd, $J = 13.8, 4.2, 1 \text{ H}$); $2.59 - 2.67$ (m, 1 H); $2.08 - 2.27$ (m, 6 H); $1.79 - 1.98$ (m, 3 H); $1.57 - 1.66$ (m, $1 H$); 0.86 – 1.05 (m, 12 H).

(3S,5S)-5-{(1S,3S)-1-Azido-3-{[4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)phenyl]methyl}-4-methylpentyl} dihydro-3-(1-methylethyl)furan-2(3H)-one (18). To a soln. of 17 (0.029 g, 0.059 mmol) in DMF (5 ml), NaN₃ (0.038 g, 0.588 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated to 90 $^{\circ}$ and stirred at 90 $^{\circ}$ for 48 h. The mixture was concentrated. Extractive workup (AcOEt, H₂O) was followed by FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:1): **18** (0.0096 g, 35%). FT-IR: 3019, 2961, 2111, 1965, 1770, 1514, 1216, 1027. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.70 – 6.83 $(m, 3\text{ H}); 4.25 - 4.28(m, 1\text{ H}); 4.10(t, J = 6.3, 2\text{ H}); 3.84(s, 3\text{ H}); 3.58(t, J = 6, 2\text{ H}); 3.36(s, 3\text{ H}); 2.92 -$ 2.98 (m, 1 H); 2.56 – 2.65 (m, 2 H); 2.43 – 2.51 (m, 1 H); 1.90 – 2.19 (m, 5 H); 1.66 – 1.83 (m, 3 H); 1.31 – 1.42 $(m, 1H)$; 0.90 – 1.04 $(m, 12H)$.

(2S,4S,5S,7S)-N-(3-Amino-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxopropyl)-5-azido-4-hydroxy-2-isopropyl-7-[4-methoxy- $3-(3-methoxypropoxy)benzyl-8-methylnonanamide (= (aS, yS, \delta S, \xi S)-N-(3-Amino-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxo-1)$ propyl)-d-azido-g-hydroxy-4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)-a,z-bis(1-methylethyl)benzeneoctanamide; 19). A mixture of 18 $(0.0082 \text{ g}, 0.018 \text{ mmol})$, 3-amino-2,2-dimethyl-propanamide $(0.031 \text{ g},$ 0.267 mmol), and propanoic acid (4 mg, 0.053 mmol) was heated to 120° without stirring for 1.5 h. The mixture was cooled to r.t. Extractive workup (AcOEt, H₂O) was followed by FC (AcOEt/EtOH 11:1): 19 (0.0089 g, 0.015 mmol, 87%). FT-IR: 3414, 3356, 3019, 2962, 2400, 2110, 1962, 1666, 1514, 1470, 1216. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.72–6.82 (*m*, 3 H); 6.40 (*t*, *J* = 6.3, 1 H); 6.00 (*s*, 1 H); 5.39 (*s*, 1 H); 4.13 (*t*, *J* = 6.6, 2 H); 3.86 (s, 3 H); 3.60 (t, J = 6.3, 2 H); 3.38 (s, 3 H); 3.32 – 3.45 (m, 3 H); 3.04 – 3.06 (m, 1 H); 2.88 – 2.92 (m, 1 H); 2.49 – 2.54 (m, 2 H); 2.06 – 2.16 (m, 3 H); 1.85 – 1.95 (m, 1 H); 1.54 – 1.79 (m, 5 H); 1.30 – 1.39 $(m, 1 H); 1.25$ $(s, 6 H); 0.88 - 0.96$ $(m, 12 H).$

(2S,4S,5S,7S)-5-Amino-N-(3-amino-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxopropyl)-4-hydroxy-2-isopropyl-7-[4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)benzyl]-8-methylnonanamide (¼Aliskiren ¼ (aS,gS,dS,zS)-d-Amino-N-(3 amino-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxopropyl)-y-hydroxy-4-methoxy-3-(3-methoxypropoxy)-a, ξ -bis(1-methylethyl)benzeneoctanamide; 20). To 19 (20 mg, 0.034 mmol) and MeOH (2.5 ml), Pd/C (10 mg) was added, and the mixture was stirred under $H_2(g)$ for 3 h at r.t. Then, the mixture was filtered through a pad of *Celite*, which was washed with EtOH, then with MeOH. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated. FC (EtOH/Et₂O 4 : 1) yielded **20** (0.007 g, 38%). ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD): 6.75 – 6.87 (*m*, 3 H); 4.08 (*t*, *J* = 6.3, 2 H); 3.82 (s, 3 H); 3.60 (t, J = 6.3, 2 H); 3.31 – 3.37 (m, 5 H); 3.10 – 3.15 (m, 1 H); 2.43 – 2.54 (m, 3 H); $2.20 - 2.30$ (m, 1 H); 2.04 (quint., $J = 6.3$, 2 H); $1.60 - 1.82$ (m, 4 H); $1.27 - 1.47$ (m, 3 H); 1.20 (s, 6 H); $0.90 - 0.98$ (m, 12 H).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. Rich, J. Med. Chem. **1985**, 28, 263; J. R. Huff, J. Med. Chem. **1991**, 34, 2305; M. S. Wolfe, J. Med. Chem. 2001, 44, 2039.
- [2] J. Rahuel, V. Rasetti, J. Mailbaum, H. Rüeger, R. Göschke, N.-C. Cohen, S. Stutz, F. Cumin, W. Fuhrer, J. M. Wood, M. G. Grütter, Chem. Biol. 2000, 7, 493.
- [3] a) H. Rüeger, S. Stutz, R. Göschke, F. Spindler, J. Mailbaum, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 10085; b) D. A. Sandham, R. J. Taylor, J. S. Carey, A. Fässler, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 10091; c) R. Göschke, S. Stutz, W. Heinzelmann, J. Mailbaum, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 2848; d) J. Mailbaum, S. Stutz, R. Göschke, P. Rigollier, Y. Yamaguchi, F. Cumin, J. Rahuel, H.-P. Baum, M.-C. Cohen, C. R. Schnell, W. Fuhrer, M. G. Gruetter, W. Schilling, J. M. Wood, J. Med. Chem. 2007, 50, 4832; e) J. Slade, H. Liu, M. Prashad, K. Prasad, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4349.
- [4] A. Dondoni, G. D. Lathauwer, D. Perrone, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 4819.
- [5] H. Dong, Z.-L. Zhang, J.-H. Huang, R. Ma, S.-H. Chen, G. Li, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6337.
- [6] a) K. B. Lindsay, T. Skrydstrup, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4766; b) J. Karaffa, K. B. Lindsay, T. Skrydstrup, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8219.
- [7] S. Hanessian, S. Claridge, S. Johnstone, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4261; S. Hanessian, S. Guesné, E. Chénard, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1816.
- [8] A. Krief, W. Dumont, P. Pasau, P. Lecomte, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 3039; M. E. Maier, S. Reuter, Synlett 1995, 1029.
- [9] S. Hanessian, T. Abad-Grillo, G. McNaughton-Smith, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 6281; T. Nishi, M. Kataoka, Y. Morisawa, Chem. Lett. 1989, 1993.
- [10] A. C. Ferguson, R. M. Adlington, D. H. Martyres, P. J. Rutledge, A. Cowley, J. E. Baldwin, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8233.
- [11] G. C. Vougioukalakis, R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 1746.
- [12] M. A. Foley, T. F. Jamison, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2010, 14, 1177.

Received August 8, 2012